

CERES for Propositional Proof Schemata

Mikheil Rukhaia

joint work with T. Dunchev, A. Leitsch *and* D. Weller

Institute of Computer Languages,
Vienna University of Technology.

Workshop on Structural and Computational Proof Theory,
Innsbruck, Austria.

October 27, 2011.

Introduction

Overview

- ▶ **Schemata** are very useful in mathematical proofs (avoids explicit use of the induction).
- ▶ **Schemata** are used on meta-level.
- ▶ Many problems can be expressed in **propositional schema language**, like:
 - Circuit verification,
 - Graph coloring,
 - Pigeonhole principle, etc.

Propositional Schema Language

- ▶ Set of **index variables** is a set of variables over natural numbers.
- ▶ **Linear arithmetic expression** is as usual built on the signature $0, s, +, -$ and on a set of index variables.
- ▶ **Indexed proposition** is an expression of the form p_a , where a is a linear arithmetic expression.
- ▶ **Propositional variable** is an indexed proposition p_a , where $a \in \mathbb{N}$.

Syntax

- ▶ **Formula schema** is defined inductively:
 - Indexed proposition is a formula schema.
 - If ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are formula schemata, then so are $\phi_1 \vee \phi_2$, $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$ and $\neg\phi_1$.
 - If ϕ is a formula schema, a, b are linear arithmetic expressions and i is an index variable, then $\bigwedge_{i=a}^b \phi$ and $\bigvee_{i=a}^b \phi$ are formula schemata, called iterations.

Semantics

- ▶ **Interpretation** is a pair of functions, $I = (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_p)$, s.t. \mathcal{I} maps index variables to natural numbers and \mathcal{I}_p maps propositional variables to truth values.
- ▶ **Truth value** $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_I$ of a formula schema ϕ in an interpretation I is defined inductively:
 - $\llbracket p_a \rrbracket_I = \mathcal{I}_p(p_{\mathcal{I}(a)})$.
 - $\llbracket \neg \phi \rrbracket_I = \mathbf{T}$ iff $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_I = \mathbf{F}$.
 - $\llbracket \phi_1 \wedge (\vee) \phi_2 \rrbracket_I = \mathbf{T}$ iff $\llbracket \phi_1 \rrbracket_I = \mathbf{T}$ and (or) $\llbracket \phi_2 \rrbracket_I = \mathbf{T}$.
 - $\llbracket \bigwedge_{i=a}^b (\bigvee_{i=a}^b) \phi \rrbracket_I = \mathbf{T}$ iff for every (there is an) integer α s.t. $\mathcal{I}(a) \leq \alpha \leq \mathcal{I}(b)$, $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{I[\alpha/i]} = \mathbf{T}$.

Cut-Elimination on Proof Schemata

Aim: describe syntactically sequence of cut-free proofs $(\chi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ obtained by cut-elimination on proof sequences $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

- Cut-free proofs of schema typically are described in meta-language.
- Find object language to define sequence $(\chi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Which cut-elimination method?

- ▶ Reductive cut-elimination.
- ▶ CERES.
 - Efficient.
 - Strong methods of redundancy-elimination.
 - Atomic cut-normal form is constructed via parts of the original proof.

The CERES Method

- ▶ CERES is a cut-elimination method by resolution.

- ▶ Method consists of the following steps:
 - ① Skolemization of the proof (if it is not already skolemized).
 - ② Computation of the characteristic clause set.
 - ③ Refutation of the characteristic clause set.
 - ④ Computation of the Projections and construction of the Atomic Cut Normal Form.

Schematic LK

Basic Notions

- ▶ **Sequent Schema** is an expression of the form $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$, where Γ and Δ are multisets of formula schemata.
- ▶ **Initial Sequent Schema** is an expression of the form $A \vdash A$, where A is an indexed proposition.
- ▶ **Proof Link** is a tuple (φ, t) , where φ is a proof name and t is a linear arithmetic expression.

Calculus LKS

- ▶ **Axioms:** initial sequent schemata or proof links.
- ▶ **Rules:**

Calculus LKS

- ▶ **Axioms:** initial sequent schemata or proof links.
- ▶ **Rules:** \wedge introduction:

$$\frac{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A \wedge B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \wedge: l1 \qquad \frac{B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A \wedge B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \wedge: l2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \quad \Pi \vdash \Lambda, B}{\Gamma, \Pi \vdash \Delta, \Lambda, A \wedge B} \wedge: r$$

Equivalences: $A_0 \equiv \bigwedge_{i=0}^0 A_i$ and $(\bigwedge_{i=0}^n A_i) \wedge A_{n+1} \equiv \bigwedge_{i=0}^{n+1} A_i$

Calculus LKS

- ▶ **Axioms:** initial sequent schemata or proof links.
- ▶ **Rules:** \vee introduction:

$$\frac{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta \quad B, \Pi \vdash \Lambda}{A \vee B, \Gamma, \Pi \vdash \Delta, \Lambda} \vee: l$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \vee B} \vee: r1$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, B}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \vee B} \vee: r2$$

Equivalences: $A_0 \equiv \bigvee_{i=0}^0 A_i$ and $(\bigvee_{i=0}^n A_i) \vee A_{n+1} \equiv \bigvee_{i=0}^{n+1} A_i$

Calculus LKS

- ▶ **Axioms:** initial sequent schemata or proof links.
- ▶ **Rules:** \neg introduction:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A}{\neg A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \neg: l \qquad \frac{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \neg A} \neg: r$$

Calculus LKS

- ▶ **Axioms:** initial sequent schemata or proof links.
- ▶ **Rules:** **Weakening** rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} w: l \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A} w: r$$

Calculus LKS

- ▶ **Axioms:** initial sequent schemata or proof links.
- ▶ **Rules:** **Contraction** rules:

$$\frac{A, A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} c: l \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A, A}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A} c: r$$

Calculus LKS

- ▶ **Axioms:** initial sequent schemata or proof links.
- ▶ **Rules:** **Cut** rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \quad A, \Pi \vdash \Lambda}{\Gamma, \Pi \vdash \Delta, \Lambda} \textit{cut}$$

LKS-proof

- ▶ **Derivation** is a directed tree with nodes as sequences and edges as rules.
- ▶ **LKS-proof** of the sequence S is a derivation of S with axioms as leaf nodes.
- ▶ An **LKS**-proof is called **ground** if it does not contain free parameters, index variables, or proof links.

Proof Schemata

- **Proof schema** ψ is a tuple of pairs $\langle (\psi_{\text{base}}^1, \psi_{\text{step}}^1), \dots, (\psi_{\text{base}}^m, \psi_{\text{step}}^m) \rangle$ such that:

- $\psi^1 \prec \psi^2 \prec \dots \prec \psi^m$,
- ψ_{base}^i is a ground **LKS**-proof of $S^i \{n \leftarrow 0\}$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,
- ψ_{step}^i is an **LKS**-proof of $S^i \{n \leftarrow k + 1\}$, where k is an index variable, and ψ_{step}^i contains proof links of the form (for $i \prec j$):

$$\frac{(\psi^i, k)}{S^i \{n \leftarrow k\}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{(\psi^j, k^j)}{S^j \{n \leftarrow k^j\}}$$

- From now on $m = 1$.

Proof Evaluation

- ▶ An **evaluation** of a proof schema ψ is a ground **LKS**-proof $eval(\psi, k)$, defined inductively:
 - $eval(\psi, 0) = \psi_{\text{base}}$, and
 - $eval(\psi, i + 1)$ is defined as ψ_{step} with end-sequent $S \{k \leftarrow i\}$ and every proof link to (ψ, k) in ψ_{step} are replaced by $eval(\psi, i)$.

An Example (ctd.)

► $eval(\psi, 0)$:

$$\frac{\frac{A_0 \vdash A_0}{\neg A_0, A_0 \vdash} \neg: l \quad A_1 \vdash \mathbf{A}_1}{A_0, \neg A_0 \vee A_1 \vdash \mathbf{A}_1} \vee: l$$

► $eval(\psi, 1)$:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} (eval(\psi, 0)) \\ A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^0 (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}) \vdash \mathbf{A}_1 \end{array} \quad \frac{\frac{\mathbf{A}_1 \vdash A_1}{\neg A_1, \mathbf{A}_1 \vdash} \neg: l \quad A_2 \vdash A_2}{\mathbf{A}_1, \neg A_1 \vee A_2 \vdash A_2} \vee: l}{A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^0 (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}), \neg A_1 \vee A_2 \vdash A_2} cut}{A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^1 (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}) \vdash A_2} \wedge: l$$

Schematic Characteristic Clause Set

Basic Notions

- ▶ **Cut-configuration** Ω of ψ is a set of formula occurrences from the end-sequent of ψ .
- ▶ $\text{cl}_k^{\Omega, \psi}$ is an unique indexed proposition symbol for all cut-configurations Ω of ψ .
- ▶ The intended semantics of $\text{cl}_k^{\Omega, \psi}$ will be “the characteristic clause set of $\text{eval}(\psi, k)$, with the cut-configuration Ω ”.

Characteristic Clause Set

$\text{CL}_\rho(\psi, \Omega)$ is defined inductively:

- ▶ if ρ is an axiom of the form $\Gamma_\Omega, \Gamma_C, \Gamma \vdash \Delta_\Omega, \Delta_C, \Delta$, then

$$\text{CL}_\rho(\psi, \Omega) = \{\Gamma_\Omega, \Gamma_C \vdash \Delta_\Omega, \Delta_C\}.$$

- ▶ if ρ is a proof link of the form

$$\frac{(\psi, t)}{\overline{\Gamma_\Omega}, \overline{\Gamma_C}, \overline{\Gamma} \vdash \overline{\Delta_\Omega}, \overline{\Delta_C}, \overline{\Delta}}$$

then

$$\text{CL}_\rho(\psi, \Omega) = \{\vdash \text{cl}_t^{\Omega', \psi}\}.$$

Characteristic Clause Set (ctd.)

- ▶ if ρ is a unary rule with immediate predecessor ρ' , then

$$\text{CL}_\rho(\psi, \Omega) = \text{CL}_{\rho'}(\psi, \Omega).$$

- ▶ if ρ is a binary rule with immediate predecessors ρ_1, ρ_2 , then either

$$\text{CL}_\rho(\psi, \Omega) = \text{CL}_{\rho_1}(\psi, \Omega) \cup \text{CL}_{\rho_2}(\psi, \Omega)$$

or

$$\text{CL}_\rho(\psi, \Omega) = \text{CL}_{\rho_1}(\psi, \Omega) \otimes \text{CL}_{\rho_2}(\psi, \Omega).$$

Characteristic Clause Set (ctd.)

- ▶ $\text{CL}(\psi, \Omega) = \text{CL}_\rho(\psi, \Omega)$, where ρ is the last inference of ψ .
- ▶ $\text{CL}(\varphi) = \text{CL}(\varphi, \emptyset)$, where φ is a ground **LKS**-proof.
- ▶ $\text{CL}_{\text{base}} = \bigcup_{\Omega} (\{\text{cl}_0^{\Omega, \psi} \vdash\} \otimes \text{CL}(\psi_{\text{base}}, \Omega))$.
- ▶ $\text{CL}_{\text{step}} = \bigcup_{\Omega} (\{\text{cl}_{k+1}^{\Omega, \psi} \vdash\} \otimes \text{CL}(\psi_{\text{step}}, \Omega))$, for $0 \leq k \leq n$.
- ▶ $\text{CL}_{\text{s}}(\psi) = \{\vdash \text{cl}_n^{\emptyset, \psi}\} \cup \text{CL}_{\text{base}} \cup \text{CL}_{\text{step}}$.

Unsatisfiability of $\text{CL}_s(\psi)$

Lemma (2.1)

Let C be a clause and \mathcal{C} be a clause set. Then an interpretation $I \models \{C\} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ iff $I \models C$ or $I \models \mathcal{C}$.

Lemma (2.2)

Let ψ be a proof schema and $\text{CL}(\psi, \Omega)$ be a characteristic clause set as defined above. Assume that for all cut-configurations Ω , $I \models \text{cl}_i^{\Omega, \psi}$ implies $I \models \text{CL}(\text{eval}(\psi, i), \Omega)$. Then $I \models \text{CL}(\psi_{\text{step}} \{k \leftarrow i\}, \Omega)$ implies $I \models \text{CL}(\text{eval}(\psi, i + 1), \Omega)$.

Unsatisfiability of $CL_s(\psi)$ (ctd.)

Proposition (2.1)

*Let φ be a ground **LKS**-proof. Then $CL(\varphi)$ is unsatisfiable.*

Proposition (2.2)

If $I \models CL_s(\psi)$ then $I \models CL(eval(\psi, I(n)))$.

Corollary (2.1)

Let ψ be a proof schema and $CL_s(\psi)$ its characteristic clause set. Then $CL_s(\psi)$ is unsatisfiable.

An Example

► ψ_{base} :

$$\frac{\frac{A_0 \vdash A_0}{\neg A_0, A_0 \vdash} \neg : l \quad A_1 \vdash A_1}{A_0, \neg A_0 \vee A_1 \vdash A_1} \vee : l$$

► ψ_{step} :

$$\frac{\frac{\text{---} \quad (\psi, k) \quad \text{---}}{A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^k (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}) \vdash A_{k+1}} \quad \frac{\frac{A_{k+1} \vdash A_{k+1}}{\neg A_{k+1}, A_{k+1} \vdash} \neg : l \quad A_{k+2} \vdash A_{k+2}}{A_{k+1}, \neg A_{k+1} \vee A_{k+2} \vdash A_{k+2}} \vee : l}{\frac{A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^k (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}), \neg A_{k+1} \vee A_{k+2} \vdash A_{k+2}}{A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^{k+1} (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}) \vdash A_{k+2}} \wedge : l} \text{cut}$$

An Example (ctd.)

- The characteristic clause set schema of ψ is:

$$(1) \vdash \text{cl}_n^{\emptyset, \psi}$$

$$(2) \text{cl}_0^{\emptyset, \psi} \vdash$$

$$(3) \text{cl}_0^{\{A_{k'+1}\}, \psi} \vdash A_1$$

$$(4) \text{cl}_{k+1}^{\{A_{k'+1}\}, \psi} \vdash \text{cl}_k^{\{A_{k'+1}\}, \psi}$$

$$(5) \text{cl}_{k+1}^{\{A_{k'+1}\}, \psi}, A_{k+1} \vdash A_{k+2}$$

$$(6) \text{cl}_{k+1}^{\emptyset, \psi} \vdash \text{cl}_k^{\{A_{k'+1}\}, \psi}$$

$$(7) \text{cl}_{k+1}^{\emptyset, \psi}, A_{k+1} \vdash$$

An Example (ctd.)

- The characteristic clause set schema of ψ is:

$$(1) \vdash \text{cl}_n^{\emptyset, \psi}$$

$$(2) \text{cl}_0^{\emptyset, \psi} \vdash$$

$$(3) \text{cl}_0^{\{A_{k'+1}\}, \psi} \vdash A_1$$

$$(4) \text{cl}_{k+1}^{\{A_{k'+1}\}, \psi} \vdash \text{cl}_k^{\{A_{k'+1}\}, \psi}$$

$$(5) \text{cl}_{k+1}^{\{A_{k'+1}\}, \psi}, A_{k+1} \vdash A_{k+2}$$

$$(6) \text{cl}_{k+1}^{\emptyset, \psi} \vdash \text{cl}_k^{\{A_{k'+1}\}, \psi}$$

$$(7) \text{cl}_{k+1}^{\emptyset, \psi}, A_{k+1} \vdash$$

Schematic Projections

Basic Notions

- ▶ Let ρ be an unary and σ a binary rule. Let ϕ, ψ be **LKS**-proofs, then $\rho(\phi)$ is the **LKS**-proof obtained from the ϕ by applying ρ , and $\sigma(\phi, \psi)$ is the proof obtained from the proofs ϕ and ψ by applying σ .

Basic Notions

- ▶ Let ρ be an unary and σ a binary rule. Let ϕ, ψ be **LKS**-proofs, then $\rho(\phi)$ is the **LKS**-proof obtained from the ϕ by applying ρ , and $\sigma(\phi, \psi)$ is the proof obtained from the proofs ϕ and ψ by applying σ .

$$\phi = A_0 \vdash A_0$$

Basic Notions

- ▶ Let ρ be an unary and σ a binary rule. Let ϕ, ψ be **LKS**-proofs, then $\rho(\phi)$ is the **LKS**-proof obtained from the ϕ by applying ρ , and $\sigma(\phi, \psi)$ is the proof obtained from the proofs ϕ and ψ by applying σ .

$$\neg(\phi) = \frac{A_0 \vdash A_0}{\neg A_0, A_0 \vdash} \neg: l$$

Basic Notions

- ▶ Let ρ be an unary and σ a binary rule. Let ϕ, ψ be **LKS**-proofs, then $\rho(\phi)$ is the **LKS**-proof obtained from the ϕ by applying ρ , and $\sigma(\phi, \psi)$ is the proof obtained from the proofs ϕ and ψ by applying σ .

$$\neg(\phi) = \frac{A_0 \vdash A_0}{\neg A_0, A_0 \vdash} \neg: l \quad \psi = A_1 \vdash A_1$$

Basic Notions

- ▶ Let ρ be an unary and σ a binary rule. Let ϕ, ψ be **LKS**-proofs, then $\rho(\phi)$ is the **LKS**-proof obtained from the ϕ by applying ρ , and $\sigma(\phi, \psi)$ is the proof obtained from the proofs ϕ and ψ by applying σ .

$$\vee(\neg(\phi), \psi) = \frac{\frac{A_0 \vdash A_0}{\neg A_0, A_0 \vdash} \neg: l \quad A_1 \vdash A_1}{A_0, \neg A_0 \vee A_1 \vdash A_1} \vee: l$$

Basic Notions (ctd.)

- ▶ $P^{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} = \{\psi^{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} \mid \psi \in P\}$, where $\psi^{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}$ is ψ followed by weakenings adding $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$.

Basic Notions (ctd.)

- $P^{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} = \{\psi^{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} \mid \psi \in P\}$, where $\psi^{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}$ is ψ followed by weakenings adding $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$.

$$\psi = \frac{\frac{A_0 \vdash A_0}{\neg A_0, A_0 \vdash} \neg: l \quad A_1 \vdash A_1}{A_0, \neg A_0 \vee A_1 \vdash A_1} \vee: l$$

Basic Notions (ctd.)

- $P^{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} = \{\psi^{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} \mid \psi \in P\}$, where $\psi^{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}$ is ψ followed by weakenings adding $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$.

$$\psi^{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} = \frac{\frac{\frac{A_0 \vdash A_0}{\neg A_0, A_0 \vdash} \neg: l \quad A_1 \vdash A_1}{A_0, \neg A_0 \vee A_1 \vdash A_1} \vee: l}{\frac{A_0, \neg A_0 \vee A_1, \Gamma \vdash A_1}{A_0, \neg A_0 \vee A_1, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, A_1} w: l^*} w: r^*$$

Basic Notions (ctd.)

► $P \times_{\sigma} Q = \{\sigma(\phi, \psi) \mid \phi \in P, \psi \in Q\}.$

Basic Notions (ctd.)

► $P \times_{\sigma} Q = \{\sigma(\phi, \psi) \mid \phi \in P, \psi \in Q\}$.

$$P = \left\{ \frac{A_0 \vdash A_0}{\neg A_0, A_0 \vdash} \neg: l \quad , \quad \frac{B_0 \vdash B_0}{\neg A_0, B_0 \vdash B_0} w: l \right\}$$

$$Q = \left\{ A_1 \vdash A_1 \quad , \quad \frac{B_1 \vdash B_1}{A_1, B_1 \vdash B_1} w: l \right\}$$

Basic Notions (ctd.)

$$\begin{aligned}
 P \times_{\vee} Q = & \left\{ \frac{\frac{A_0 \vdash A_0}{\neg A_0, A_0 \vdash \neg : l} \quad A_1 \vdash A_1}{A_0, \neg A_0 \vee A_1 \vdash A_1} \vee : l \quad , \right. \\
 & \frac{\frac{B_0 \vdash B_0}{\neg A_0, B_0 \vdash B_0} w : l \quad A_1 \vdash A_1}{B_0, \neg A_0 \vee A_1 \vdash B_0, A_1} \vee : l \quad , \\
 & \frac{\frac{A_0 \vdash A_0}{\neg A_0, A_0 \vdash \neg : l} \quad \frac{B_1 \vdash B_1}{A_1, B_1 \vdash B_1} w : l}{A_0, B_1, \neg A_0 \vee A_1 \vdash B_1} \vee : l \quad , \\
 & \left. \frac{\frac{B_0 \vdash B_0}{\neg A_0, B_0 \vdash B_0} w : l \quad \frac{B_1 \vdash B_1}{A_1, B_1 \vdash B_1} w : l}{B_0, B_1, \neg A_0 \vee A_1 \vdash B_0, B_1} \vee : l \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Projections

$PR(\psi, \rho, \Omega)$ is defined inductively:

- ▶ if ρ is an axiom S , then $PR(\psi, \rho, \Omega) = \{S\}$.
- ▶ if ρ is a proof link of the form

$$\frac{(\psi, t)}{\Gamma_{\Omega}, \Gamma_C, \Gamma \vdash \Delta_{\Omega}, \Delta_C, \Delta}$$

then $PR(\psi, \rho, \Omega)$ is:

$$\frac{(pr^{\Omega', \psi}, t)}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, cl_t^{\Omega', \psi}}$$

Projections (ctd.)

- ▶ If ρ is a unary inference with immediate predecessor ρ' and

$$PR(\psi, \rho', \Omega) = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\},$$

then either

$$PR(\psi, \rho, \Omega) = PR(\psi, \rho', \Omega)$$

or

$$PR(\psi, \rho, \Omega) = \{\rho(\phi_1), \dots, \rho(\phi_n)\}.$$

Projections (ctd.)

- ▶ If ρ is a binary inference with immediate predecessors ρ_1 and ρ_2 , then either

$$PR(\psi, \rho, \Omega) = PR(\psi, \rho_1, \Omega)^{\Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_2} \cup PR(\psi, \rho_2, \Omega)^{\Gamma_1 \vdash \Delta_1}$$

or

$$PR(\psi, \rho, \Omega) = PR(\psi, \rho_1, \Omega) \times_{\rho} PR(\psi, \rho_2, \Omega)$$

Projections (ctd.)

- The set of projections of ψ is defined as follows:

$$PR(\psi) = \bigcup_{\Omega} (PR(\psi_{\text{base}}, \rho_{\text{base}}, \Omega) \cup PR(\psi_{\text{step}}, \rho_{\text{step}}, \Omega)).$$

An Example (ctd.)

- $\bigcup_{\Omega \in \{\emptyset, \{A_{k'+1}\}\}} PR(\psi_{\text{base}}, \rho_{\text{base}}, \Omega)$ is:

$$\frac{\frac{A_0 \vdash A_0}{\neg A_0, A_0 \vdash} \neg: l \quad A_1 \vdash A_1}{A_0, \neg A_0 \vee A_1 \vdash A_1} \vee: l$$

- $\bigcup_{\Omega \in \{\emptyset, \{A_{k'+1}\}\}} PR(\psi_{\text{step}}, \rho_{\text{step}}, \Omega)$ is:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{A_{k+1} \vdash A_{k+1}}{\neg A_{k+1}, A_{k+1} \vdash} \neg: l \quad A_{k+2} \vdash A_{k+2}}{A_{k+1}, \neg A_{k+1} \vee A_{k+2} \vdash A_{k+2}} \vee: l}{A_{k+1}, A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^k (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}), \neg A_{k+1} \vee A_{k+2} \vdash A_{k+2}} w: l^*$$

$$\frac{A_{k+1}, A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^k (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}), \neg A_{k+1} \vee A_{k+2} \vdash A_{k+2}}{A_{k+1}, A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^{k+1} (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}) \vdash A_{k+2}} \wedge: l$$

An Example (ctd.)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{-----} \\
 (pr\{A_{k'+1}\}, \psi, k) \\
 \text{-----} \\
 A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^k (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}) \vdash \text{cl}_k^{\{A_{k+1}\}, \psi} \\
 \hline
 A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^k (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}), \neg A_{k+1} \vee A_{k+2} \vdash \text{cl}_k^{\{A_{k+1}\}, \psi} \quad w : l \\
 \hline
 A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^{k+1} (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}) \vdash \text{cl}_k^{\{A_{k+1}\}, \psi} \quad \wedge : l
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{-----} \\
 (pr\{A_{k'+1}\}, \psi, k) \\
 \text{-----} \\
 A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^k (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}) \vdash \text{cl}_k^{\{A_{k+1}\}, \psi} \\
 \hline
 A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^k (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}), \neg A_{k+1} \vee A_{k+2} \vdash \text{cl}_k^{\{A_{k+1}\}, \psi}, A_{k+2} \quad w : l, r \\
 \hline
 A_0, \bigwedge_{i=0}^{k+1} (\neg A_i \vee A_{i+1}) \vdash \text{cl}_k^{\{A_{k+1}\}, \psi}, A_{k+2} \quad \wedge : l
 \end{array}$$

Ongoing and Future Work

Correctness of the definition of $PR(\psi)$

- ▶ Let ψ be a proof schema and $PR(\psi)$ the set of projections of ψ as defined above. Then by $Proj(\psi, k)$ we denote the set $\{eval(\phi, k) \mid \phi \in PR(\psi)\}$.
- ▶ Let $PR(eval(\psi, k), \Omega)$ be a set of projections for a ground **LKS**-proof $eval(\psi, k)$ with the cut-configuration Ω .

Correctness of the definition of $PR(\psi)$ (ctd.)

Lemma (3.1)

Let ψ be a proof schema and (ψ, k) an arbitrary proof link of ψ , then for all cut-configurations Ω , $(pr^{\Omega, \psi}, k)$ evaluates to the set $PR(eval(\psi, k), \Omega)$.

Proposition (3.1)

Let ψ be a proof schema, then $PR(eval(\psi, k), \emptyset) \subseteq Proj(\psi, k)$.

Future Work

- ▶ Given the schemata of refutations and projections construct the schema of ACNF.
- ▶ Extend these results for the first order proof schemata.
- ▶ Cut-elimination on proof schema for Fürstenberg's prime proof.

Questions?