On the Structure of Herbrand-Disjunctions

Stefan Hetzl

Laboratoire Preuves, Programmes et Systèmes (PPS) Université Paris Diderot 175 Rue du Chevaleret, 75013 Paris, France stefan.hetzl@pps.jussieu.fr

August 18, 2010

Herbrand-Disjunctions are a most basic analytic proof system for firstorder logic. Non-analytic proof systems, like the sequent calculus with cuts, have the advantage of providing shorter proofs. It is well-known that the increase in proof-length when computing an Herbrand-Disjunction from a proof with cuts can be as high as the hyperexponential function 2_n where $2_0 = 1$ and $2_{n+1} = 2^{2_n}$, see [4].

It is clear that most large Herbrand-Disjunctions cannot be obtained from small proofs with cuts. This observation is an instance of a more general phenomenon that arises whenever we are given a large set (here: the Herbrand-Disjunctions of length at most $2_{P(n)}$ for some fixed polynomial P and n being the logical complexity of the formula) and a small set (here: proofs with cut of length at most P(n)) of descriptions of elements of the large set. Other instances of this phenomenon include the fact that a sequence of randomly chosen boolean functions does not possess polynomialsize circuits [1] or that the recursive sets are a measure-zero subset of all sets.

This talk will concentrate on the question which Herbrand-Disjunctions can be obtained from a proof with cuts and which cannot. While it is obvious that, for resulting from a proof with cuts, it is necessary that the Herbrand-Disjunction contains some kind of regularity, it is not clear what kind of regularity that is. I shall present some results (and work in progress) towards a combinatorial charaterization of the structure of Herbrand-Disjunctions arising from cut-elimination.

In particular: it is possible to read off a regular tree grammar (the natural generalisation of regular (string) grammars to trees [2]) G from a proof π s.t. every Herbrand-Disjunction obtainable from π by the standard set of proof reduction rules for cut-elimination is a subset of the (regular tree) language defined by G, see [3].

References

- [1] Sanjeev Arora and Boaz Barak. Computational Complexity: A Modern Approach. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [2] Ferenc Gécseg and Magnus Steinby. Tree Languages. In G. Rozenberg and A. Salomaa, editors, *Handbook of Formal Languages: Volume 3: Beyond Words*, pages 1–68. Springer, 1997.
- [3] Stefan Hetzl. On the form of witness terms. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 49(5):529–554, 2010.
- [4] Pavel Pudlák. The Lengths of Proofs. In Sam Buss, editor, Handbook of Proof Theory, pages 547–637. Elsevier, 1998.