

CONTINUOUS FRAÏSSÉ CONJECTURE

Norbert Preining

(joint work with A. Beckmann and M. Goldstern)

Vienna University of Technology, Austria

28 May 2008

PART I

THE STARTING POINT

GÖDEL LOGICS

SYNTAX AND SEMANTICS

Truth value set $\{0, 1\} \subseteq V \subseteq [0, 1]$, V closed

Language countable quantified propositional

\wedge min

\vee max

\rightarrow projection on smaller or 1

$\forall x A$ infimum

$\exists x A$ supremum

SEMANTICS CONT.

$$\mathbf{G}_V = \{A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}) : \text{for all } v, v(A) = 1\}$$

SEMANTICS CONT.

$$\mathbf{G}_V = \{A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}) : \text{for all } v, v(A) = 1\}$$

$$V_\infty = [0, 1]$$

$$V_\downarrow = \{0\} \cup \{1/n : n \geq 1\}$$

$$V_\uparrow = \{1\} \cup \{1 - 1/n : n \geq 1\}$$

$$V_k = \{1\} \cup \{1 - 1/n : n = 1, \dots, k - 1\}$$

NUMBER OF GÖDEL LOGICS?

- ▶ Question not useless – it's about the expressive power of Gödel logics
- ▶ the results known show only that there are at least \aleph_0 with infinite truth value set (see e.g. Baaz et al. (1996); P. 2002)
- ▶ Evidence for \aleph_1 : Extension with principles (intermediate logics, modal logics)

EXTENSIONAL DEFINITION – ORDER STRUCTURE

absolute values — relative position

EXTENSIONAL DEFINITION – ORDER STRUCTURE

absolute values — relative position

- ▶ strictly monotone and continuous mapping
- ▶ preserving 0 and 1
- ▶ counterexample in $\mathbf{G}_{V_1} \mapsto$ counterexample in \mathbf{G}_{V_2}

EXTENSIONAL DEFINITION – ORDER STRUCTURE

absolute values — relative position

- ▶ strictly monotone and continuous mapping
- ▶ preserving 0 and 1
- ▶ counterexample in $\mathbf{G}_{V_1} \mapsto$ counterexample in \mathbf{G}_{V_2}

$$V_{\uparrow} = \{1 - \frac{1}{k} : k \geq 1\} \cup \{1\}$$

$$V = V_{\uparrow} \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$$

COUNTING GÖDEL LOGICS

structure of Gödel sets with respect to the
smc-embeddability relation

COUNTING GÖDEL LOGICS

structure of Gödel sets with respect to the
smc-embeddability relation

$$V_1 \preceq V_2 \text{ and } V_2 \preceq V_1$$

$$V_1 \neq V_2$$

COUNTING GÖDEL LOGICS

structure of Gödel sets with respect to the
smc-embeddability relation

$$V_1 \preceq V_2 \text{ and } V_2 \preceq V_1$$

$$V_1 \not\preceq V_2$$

Gödel sets + smc-embeddability = quasi ordering, ...

PART II

BACK TO SCHOOL

ORDER THEORY

QUASI ORDERINGS

Definition

A (Q, \leq) with reflexive and transitive \leq is a *quasi-ordering*.

QUASI ORDERINGS

Definition

A (Q, \leq) with reflexive and transitive \leq is a *quasi-ordering*.

Example

all linear orderings together with (normal) embeddability

η and $\eta + \mathbf{1}$ are different order types, but each embeddable into the other.

QUASI ORDERINGS

Definition

A (Q, \leq) with reflexive and transitive \leq is a *quasi-ordering*.

Example

all linear orderings together with (normal) embeddability

η and $\eta + \mathbf{1}$ are different order types, but each embeddable into the other.

Example

all linear orderings containing infinite descending chains, e.g., the order types of dense suborderings of \mathbb{R} .

WELL-QUASI-ORDERINGS

Conjecture (Fraïssé (1948); Laver (1971))

The set of scattered linear orderings is more well-behaved, i.e., it is a well-quasi-ordering.

WELL-QUASI-ORDERINGS CONT.

Definition

A set Q is a *well-quasi-ordering* (wqo) if one of the following conditions hold

- ▶ There are no infinite antichains and no infinite descending chains in Q .
- ▶ All sequences of elements in Q are *good* (i.e., if there are n and k such that $n < k$ and $q_n \leq q_k$).
- ▶ All sequences of elements in Q contain either a strictly increasing subsequence or a constant subsequence.

WELL-QUASI-ORDERINGS CONT.

Definition

A set Q is a *well-quasi-ordering* (wqo) if one of the following conditions hold

- ▶ There are no infinite antichains and no infinite descending chains in Q .
- ▶ All sequences of elements in Q are *good* (i.e., if there are n and k such that $n < k$ and $q_n \leq q_k$).
- ▶ All sequences of elements in Q contain either a strictly increasing subsequence or a constant subsequence.

Question: What about Gödel sets with smc-embeddability?

ORDERING FINITE SEQUENCES

Definition

If Q is a quasi-ordering, define the quasi-ordering of $Q^{<\omega}$ of finite sequences of in Q

$$\langle p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1} \rangle \leq \langle q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{m-1} \rangle$$

if there is a strictly increasing h with

$$h : n \rightarrow m, \quad p_i \leq_Q q_{h(i)} \text{ for all } i < n.$$

ORDERING ω SEQUENCES

Definition

The quasi-ordering Q^ω of ω -sequences of elements of Q :

$$\langle p_n : n < \omega \rangle \leq \langle q_n : n < \omega \rangle$$

if there is a strictly increasing h with

$$h : \omega \rightarrow \omega, \quad p_n \leq_Q q_{h(n)} \text{ for all } n < \omega.$$

WHY THIS STRANGE SEQUENCE ORDERING

Let $\langle L_0, L_1, \dots, L_{n-1} \rangle$ and $\langle M_0, M_1, \dots, M_{m-1} \rangle$ be two finite sequences of linear orderings.

One way for $L_0 + L_1 + \dots + L_{n-1}$ to be embeddable in $M_0 + M_1 + \dots + M_{m-1}$ is if

$$\langle L_0, L_1, \dots, L_{n-1} \rangle \leq \langle M_0, M_1, \dots, M_{m-1} \rangle$$

decomposition into infinite sums with smaller 'rank'

RESULTS FOR WQO

Theorem

If Q is a wqo, then $Q^{<\omega}$ also is a wqo.

RESULTS FOR WQO

Theorem

If Q is a wqo, then $Q^{<\omega}$ also is a wqo.

Unfortunately this is not true for Q^ω :

Let $Q = \{(i, j) : i < j < \omega\}$ be quasi-ordered by

$$(i, j) \leq (k, l) \text{ iff either } j < k \text{ or } i = k \text{ and } j \leq l.$$

Define

$$\vec{q}_i = \langle (i, i+1), (i, i+2), (i, i+3), \dots \rangle,$$

then

$$\langle \vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2, \dots \rangle$$

is a bad sequence of elements in Q^ω .

BETTER QUASI ORDERINGS

wqo: $h : \omega \rightarrow L$ such that if $i < j$, then $h(i) \leq h(j)$.

BETTER QUASI ORDERINGS

wqo: $h : \omega \rightarrow L$ such that if $i < j$, then $h(i) \leq h(j)$.

bqo: $h : B \rightarrow L$ such that if $b_1 \triangleleft b_2$, then $h(b_1) \leq h(b_2)$.

BETTER QUASI ORDERINGS

wqo: $h : \omega \rightarrow L$ such that if $i < j$, then $h(i) \leq h(j)$.

bqo: $h : B \rightarrow L$ such that if $b_1 \triangleleft b_2$, then $h(b_1) \leq h(b_2)$.

Definition

An infinite set B of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} is a *block* if every infinite subset X of $\bigcup B := \bigcup \{b : b \in B\}$ has an initial segment in B .

A block B is called a *barrier* if no two elements of B are comparable w.r.t. inclusion.

EXAMPLES FOR BLOCKS AND BARRIERS

$\{\{i\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a barrier for the natural numbers.

EXAMPLES FOR BLOCKS AND BARRIERS

$\{\{i\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a barrier for the natural numbers.

$\{\{i\}, \{i, j\} : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a block, but not a barrier for \mathbb{N}

EXAMPLES FOR BLOCKS AND BARRIERS

$\{\{i\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a barrier for the natural numbers.

$\{\{i\}, \{i, j\} : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a block, but not a barrier for \mathbb{N}

$\{0\}, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \dots, \{3, 4\}, \{3, 5\}, \dots, \dots$ is a barrier for \mathbb{N} .

BQO CONT.

Definition B barrier, $h : B \rightarrow Q$

$$\begin{aligned} h \text{ bad} \quad \text{iff} \quad & b_1, b_2 \in B \\ & b_1 \triangleleft b_2 \\ & h(b_1) \not\leq_Q h(b_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 \triangleleft b_2 \quad \text{iff} \quad & i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \\ & b_1 = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \\ & b_2 = \{i_2, \dots, i_m\} \end{aligned}$$

BQO CONT.

Definition B barrier, $h : B \rightarrow Q$

$$\begin{aligned} h \text{ bad} \quad \text{iff} \quad & b_1, b_2 \in B \\ & b_1 \triangleleft b_2 \\ & h(b_1) \not\leq_Q h(b_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 \triangleleft b_2 \quad \text{iff} \quad & i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \\ & b_1 = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \\ & b_2 = \{i_2, \dots, i_m\} \end{aligned}$$

Example $\langle 2, 4, 6, 8 \rangle \triangleleft \langle 4, 6, 8, 11, 42 \rangle$, $\langle i \rangle \triangleleft \langle j \rangle$

BQO CONT.

Definition

We say that Q is a *better-quasi-ordering* (bqo) if every $h : B \rightarrow Q$ is good, for every barrier B of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} .

BQO CONT.

Definition

We say that Q is a *better-quasi-ordering* (bqo) if every $h : B \rightarrow Q$ is good, for every barrier B of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} .

Theorem (Nash-Williams (1968))

If Q is a bqo, then $Q^{<\omega}$ and Q^ω are bqos.

COUNTABLE CLOSED LINEAR ORDERINGS

Definition

A *countable closed linear ordering* (cclo) is a countable closed subset of \mathbb{R} .

COUNTABLE CLOSED LINEAR ORDERINGS

Definition

A *countable closed linear ordering* (cclo) is a countable closed subset of \mathbb{R} .

smc-embedding $Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$: strictly monotone and continuous on Q_1 (usual topology of \mathbb{R})

COUNTABLE CLOSED LINEAR ORDERINGS

Definition

A *countable closed linear ordering* (cclo) is a countable closed subset of \mathbb{R} .

smc-embedding $Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$: strictly monotone and continuous on Q_1 (usual topology of \mathbb{R})

labeled cclo: fix a $q_0 \in Q$, a Q -cclo is a function A whose domain $\text{dom } A$ is a cclo and whose range is contained in Q .

COUNTABLE CLOSED LINEAR ORDERINGS

Definition

A *countable closed linear ordering* (cclo) is a countable closed subset of \mathbb{R} .

smc-embedding $Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$: strictly monotone and continuous on Q_1 (usual topology of \mathbb{R})

labeled cclo: fix a qo Q , a Q -cclo is a function A whose domain $\text{dom } A$ is a cclo and whose range is contained in Q .

$A \preceq B$ (Q -smc-embeddable) iff there is a smc-embedding h from $\text{dom } A$ to $\text{dom } B$ and $A(a) \leq_Q B(h(a))$ for all $a \in \text{dom } A$.

EXAMPLES FOR Q -CCLOS

If Q is a singleton, then $A \preceq B$ is just smc-embedding from $\text{dom } A$ to $\text{dom } B$.

EXAMPLES FOR Q -CCLOS

If Q is a singleton, then $A \preceq B$ is just smc-embedding from $\text{dom } A$ to $\text{dom } B$.

If $Q = \{p, q\}$ is an antichain, and $A(0) = A(1) = p$ and $A(b) = q$ for $b \neq 0, 1$, then $A \preceq B$ is a smc-embedding from $\text{dom } A$ into $\text{dom } B$ which additionally preserves 0 and 1.

NOTATIONS OF Q -CCLO TERMS

We will use the following notation:

$$L_0 + L_1 + L_2 + \dots + p + \dots + {}_2L + {}_1L + {}_0L$$

or

$$\sum L_i + p + \sum^* {}_iL$$

NOTATIONS OF Q -CCLO TERMS

We will use the following notation:

$$L_0 + L_1 + L_2 + \dots + p + \dots + {}_2L + {}_1L + {}_0L$$

or

$$\sum L_i + p + \sum^* {}_iL$$

Following conditions are imposed:

- ▶ p is an element of Q .
- ▶ All the L_i and ${}_iL$ are Q -cclo's.
- ▶ Either all L_i are empty, or none of them are empty.
- ▶ $\text{dom } L_i < \text{dom } L_{i+1} < \text{dom } {}_{i+1}L < \text{dom } {}_iL$ for all i
- ▶ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n a$

TWO OPERATIONS TO BUILD Q -CCLOS

Sums from \mathcal{O} : Let \mathcal{O} be a class of Q -cclo's. The set $S(\mathcal{O})$ be the set of all Q -cclo's which are finite sums of Q -cclo's from \mathcal{O} , plus the set of all Q -cclo's of the form

$$L_0 + L_1 + L_2 + \dots + p + \dots + {}_2L + {}_1L + {}_0L$$

where $p \in Q$ and all L_n and all ${}_nL$ are in \mathcal{O} .

TWO OPERATIONS TO BUILD Q-CCLOS

Sums from \mathcal{O} : Let \mathcal{O} be a class of Q-cclo's. The set $S(\mathcal{O})$ be the set of all Q-cclo's which are finite sums of Q-cclo's from \mathcal{O} , plus the set of all Q-cclo's of the form

$$L_0 + L_1 + L_2 + \dots + p + \dots + {}_2L + {}_1L + {}_0L$$

where $p \in Q$ and all L_n and all ${}_nL$ are in \mathcal{O} .

Unbounded sums from \mathcal{O} : Additional requirement

$$\forall n \exists k > n L_n \leq L_k \quad \text{and} \quad \forall n \exists k > n {}_nL \leq {}_kL.$$

CLASSES OF Q -CCLOS

Let \mathcal{C} be the set of all Q -cclo's.

CLASSES OF Q-CCLOS

Let \mathcal{C} be the set of all Q -cclo's.

Let $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}'_0$ be the set of all Q -cclo's with singleton or empty domain. For any $\alpha \leq \omega_1$ let

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha+1} = S(\mathcal{C}_\alpha) \cup \mathcal{C}_\alpha \quad \mathcal{C}'_{\alpha+1} = S'(\mathcal{C}'_\alpha) \cup \mathcal{C}'_\alpha$$

and for limit ordinals $\delta > 0$ let $\mathcal{C}_\delta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \delta} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$,
 $\mathcal{C}'_\delta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \delta} \mathcal{C}'_\alpha$.

CLASSES OF Q-CCLOS

Let \mathcal{C} be the set of all Q -cclo's.

Let $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}'_0$ be the set of all Q -cclo's with singleton or empty domain. For any $\alpha \leq \omega_1$ let

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha+1} = S(\mathcal{C}_\alpha) \cup \mathcal{C}_\alpha \quad \mathcal{C}'_{\alpha+1} = S'(\mathcal{C}'_\alpha) \cup \mathcal{C}'_\alpha$$

and for limit ordinals $\delta > 0$ let $\mathcal{C}_\delta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \delta} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$,
 $\mathcal{C}'_\delta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \delta} \mathcal{C}'_\alpha$.

Rank are defined as usual for such a inductive definition.

RESULTS ON Q-CCLOS

Theorem (all Beckmann et al. (20??))

$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\omega_1}$, and every order in \mathcal{C} can be written as finite sum of orders from \mathcal{C}'_{ω_1} .

Theorem

If (\mathcal{C}', \preceq) is a bqo, then (\mathcal{C}, \preceq) is a wqo.

Theorem

(\mathcal{C}', \preceq) is a bqo.

RESULTS ON Q -CCLOS CONT.

Corollary

(\mathcal{C}, \preceq) is a wqo.

RESULTS ON Q -CCLOS CONT.

Corollary

(\mathcal{C}, \preceq) is a wqo.

Lemma

Let (Q, \leq) be a wqo with uncountable many \equiv -equivalence classes. Then there exists a 1-1 monotone map $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow Q$.

PROOF IDEA FOR (\mathcal{C}', \preceq) IS A BQO

Let \mathcal{F} denote the set of all functions $g : B \rightarrow Q$ where B is an arbitrary barrier for \mathbb{N} .

PROOF IDEA FOR (\mathcal{C}', \preceq) IS A BQO

Let \mathcal{F} denote the set of all functions $g : B \rightarrow Q$ where B is an arbitrary barrier for \mathbb{N} .

Let $g : B \rightarrow Q$ and $h : C \rightarrow Q$ be two functions from \mathcal{F} . We can define a notion of ‘shorter’ on these functions (C is proper extended sub-barrier, g and h coincide on the intersection, and if c extends b , then $h(c) \leq g(b)$, and $h(c)$ has lower rank than $g(b)$).

PROOF IDEA FOR (\mathcal{C}', \preceq) IS A BQO

Let \mathcal{F} denote the set of all functions $g : B \rightarrow Q$ where B is an arbitrary barrier for \mathbb{N} .

Let $g : B \rightarrow Q$ and $h : C \rightarrow Q$ be two functions from \mathcal{F} . We can define a notion of 'shorter' on these functions (C is proper extended sub-barrier, g and h coincide on the intersection, and if c extends b , then $h(c) \leq g(b)$, and $h(c)$ has lower rank than $g(b)$).

If \mathcal{F} contains some bad function, then it contains some minimal bad function (minimal w.r.t. shorter).

PROOF IDEA CONT.

Now assume that there is a bad function, then there is a minimal one f . We can find a sub-barrier B' such that the place of 'evil' (not embedability) occurs in one of the three parts of the sum-terms.

PROOF IDEA CONT.

Now assume that there is a bad function, then there is a minimal one f . We can find a sub-barrier B' such that the place of 'evil' (not embedability) occurs in one of the three parts of the sum-terms.

Then we consider the barrier

$B'(2) = \{b_1 \cup b_2 : b_1, b_2 \in B' \text{ and } b_1 \triangleleft b_2\}$ and define $g : B'(2) \rightarrow C'$ by letting $g(b_1 \cup b_2)$ be the first representative of evil. Then g is shorter than f , but also bad, which contradicts the minimality of f .

PART III

BACK TO GÖDEL LOGICS

ORDERING GÖDEL LOGICS

V_1 and V_2 Gödel sets

$Q = \{0, 1\}$ with $0 <_Q 1$

A_1 and A_2 be Q -labeled cclos

$\text{dom}(A_i) = V_i$, $A_i(0) = A_i(1) = 1$ and $A_i(x) = 0$ o.w.

If $A_1 \leq A_2$ then $G(V_1) \supseteq G(V_2)$.

WQORDERING GÖDEL LOGICS

Lemma

The class of countable Gödel logics, ordered by \supseteq , is a wqo.

Proof.

Take an infinite sequence of countable Gödel logics $\langle \mathbf{G}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$. Define the respective Q -labeled cclos V_n as above. Since the Q -cclos are a wqo, this sequence must be good, hence also the sequence of Gödel logics. □

COUNTING COUNTABLE GÖDEL LOGICS

Lemma

The class of countable Gödel logics is countable.

COUNTING COUNTABLE GÖDEL LOGICS

Lemma

The class of countable Gödel logics is countable.

Proof.

Each countable Gödel logic is a subset of the fixed countable set of all formulas, thus it cannot contain a copy of ω_1 , thus it is countable. □

THE NUMBER OF GÖDEL LOGICS

Theorem

The class of all Gödel logics is countable.

THE NUMBER OF GÖDEL LOGICS

Theorem

The class of all Gödel logics is countable.

Proof.

The Gödel logics determined by $V = P \cup C$ and $V' = V \cup [\inf P, 1]$ are the same. Thus, only the countable part $V \cap [0, \inf P]$ is relevant for discerning logics. Thus we have $2 \cdot \aleph_0 + 1$, i.e. \aleph_0 many Gödel logics. □

REFERENCES

- M. Baaz, A. Leitsch, and R. Zach. Completeness of a first-order temporal logic with time-gaps. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 160(1-2):241-270, June 1996.
- Arnold Beckmann, Martin Goldstern, and Norbert Preining. Continuous Fraïssé conjecture. *Orders*. Submitted.
- Roland Fraïssé. Sur la comparaison des types d'ordres. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 226:1330-1331, 1948.
- Richard Laver. On Fraïssé's order type conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 93:89-111, 1971.
- C. St. J. A. Nash-Williams. On better-quasi-ordering transfinite sequences. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 64: 273-290, 1968.